# 

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OKI AMERICA, INC. et al.,

No. C 04-03171 CRB

Plaintiffs,

ORDER RE: MARKMAN HEARING

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

The Court has reviewed the parties' <u>Markman</u> briefs in anticipation of the hearing scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 2, 2006. The parties are directed to respond to the following questions at the hearing. Although the questions are directed to a particular party, the opposing party will also have an opportunity to respond.

### '678 Patent: Claim 5: "whereby the meniscus . . . onto said substrate surface"

1. <u>AMD</u>: In order for the meniscus to form, how close must the nozzle be to the spinning surface of the substrate? Could a meniscus form between the nozzle and the spinning surface of the substrate regardless of the distance between the two?

#### '672 Patent: Claim 55: "multiplexing . . . second bus"

2. Oki: The patent at column 30, lines 14-15, states that "[i]ncoming data is multiplexed, via MUX 803 into the 64 word queue, 804, for the channel." Does the multiplexing to which this statement refers require interleaving? How does your answer

For the Northern District of California 8 4 2 4 4 8

impact your contention that the word multiplexing, as used in Claim 55, requires interleaving?

- 3. <u>AMD</u>: If the address signals and the data signals are not interleaved, what process in the invention would constitute multiplexing?
- 4. <u>Both parties</u>: Respond to the following proposed construction of "multiplexing address signals being transferred to said second bus by said access means and said controller means, together with data signals being transferred to said second bus." "Said access means and said controller means are transferring address and data signals to the second bus. In this step, those address and data signals are combined for transmission over the second bus."

The Markman hearing will be limited to the above terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 31, 2006

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE